21st March 1929]

Mr. D. NARAYANA RAJU :—" May I know the reason why it should not be brought into operation, I mean the reclassification of the permanently improved lands ? "

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS :—" Ordinarily it would not be done but if the hon. Member wishes that the matter should be specially looked into, I shall do so."

Mr. D. NARAYANA RAJU :—" With regard to the writing up of new registers, may I know with regard to what taluk or taluks, these registers are completely written up on the basis of the existing rates and with regard to what taluk or taluks these registers are being written up keeping the rates column blank ? "

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS :—" I must ask for notice. I do not know whether the existing rates are written up or left blank."

Mr. D. NARAYANA RAJU :—" I know as a matter of fact that the rates column is left blank with regard to some taluks. May I know whether the Government will be pleased to order that these new registers should be written up completely for all the taluks filling up the rates columns on the basis of the existing rates ? "

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS :—" The rates column would not be filled up until the decision has been come to in the matter. We are waiting for the report of the committee."

Mr. D. NARAYANA RAJU :—" May I know why it is in regard to certain taluks only the rates column is being filled up on the basis of the existing rates ? "

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS :—" I did not know there was that difference."

Mr. A. KALESWARA RAO :—" May I know whether Government has issued an order to the Secretary of the East Godavari Association that it has accepted the report of Mr. Holdsworth ? "

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS :—" Not that I am aware of, Sir."

Civil Justice

Extension of the additional sub-court at Bezwada.

* 1805 Q.—Mr. A. KALESWARA RAO : Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether the term of the additional sub-court at Bezwada was extended from May 1928 to December 1928 and again has been extended till March 1929 ;

(b) whether the Bar Association protested against the continuance of the said additional sub-court by communicating to the Government on 1st April 1928, 29th April 1928, 21st November 1928 and again on 4th February 1929 that the said additional sub-court was unnecessary and that its location was causing inconvenience to the bar and the public ;

(c) what the cost of the establishment of the said additional sub-court per month is ;

[21st March 1929

(*d*) whether it is a fact that the present sub-judge of the permanent sub-court of Bezwada is attending the court every day very late in the afternoon and that he is not disposing of the work that he ought to dispose of;

(*e*) whether it is a fact that the District Judge, Kistna, sent the said sub-judge of the permanent sub-court a mild rebuke for his unpunctuality;

(*f*) what are the number of original suits and appeals that any sub-judge is expected to dispose of during any year, and what is the number of original suits and appeals that the said sub-judge of the permanent sub-court, Bezwada, has disposed of during 1928, 1927 and 1926, and the number of original suits and appeals instituted in the said court during those years;

(*g*) whether the appellate jurisdiction over the Nuzvid District Munsif has been taken by the District Court at Masulipatam; and

(*h*) whether the Bar Association, Bezwada, has protested against the transfer?

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) The average cost of the establishment of the additional sub-court per month is Rs. 188.

(*d*) & (*e*) The Government have no information.

(*f*) No uniform standard annual outturn of work has been prescribed for all sub-judges in general. The annual standard outturn fixed for the Principal Sub-Judge is as follows:—

Original Suits	72
Appeals	193

As regards the number of original suits and appeals instituted in the Sub-Court, Bezwada, for the years 1926 and 1927, the hon. Member is referred to the Annual Administration Reports on Civil Justice for those years. The Government have no information as to the number of suits and appeals disposed of by the Principal Sub-Judge alone for these years. As to the year 1928, the number of original suits and appeals instituted in the Sub-Court, Bezwada, was 73 and 167, respectively. The number of original suits and appeals disposed of by the Principal Sub-Judge was 36 and 81 respectively.

(*g*) Yes.

(*h*) Yes.

Mr. A. Kaleswara Rao:—"May I know in view of the figures given in the answer, that is original suits being 72 and appeals 193 that a Principal Sub-Judge ought to dispose of and in view of the institution figures, and of the suits and appeals that have actually been disposed of by the Sub-Judge, whether the work of the Sub-Judge is satisfactory?"

The hon. Diwan Bahadur M. Krishnan Nayar:—"It is a question of opinion. My opinion is that the work is not satisfactory."

Mr. A. Kaleswara Rao:—"May I know whether any arrangement will be made to make it more satisfactory and to remove the additional sub-court?"

The hon. Diwan Bahadur M. Krishnan Nayar:—"It will be brought to the notice of the High Court."